



**Food and Drug Administration  
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS  
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW**

**CASE NUMBER:** 2005-MWM-715-0153  
**CASE TITLE:** ALBERT POET  
**DOCUMENT NUMBER:** 87063  
**PERSON INTERVIEWED:** SHIRLEY S [REDACTED]  
**PLACE OF INTERVIEW:** MANAHAWKIN, NEW JERSEY  
**DATE OF INTERVIEW:** 07/12/2005  
**TIME OF INTERVIEW:** 1:20 PM  
**INTERVIEWED BY:** SA MARC HESS

**OTHER PERSONS PRESENT:**

SHIRLEY S [REDACTED] was contacted at her residence. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview S [REDACTED] provided the following information.

S [REDACTED] has known Dr. ALBERT POET for many years. S [REDACTED]'s late husband was a good friend of POET and his brother, MAURICE. S [REDACTED] was a co-worker of MAURICE and therefore met POET occasionally. POET resides in Manahawkin [REDACTED] built approximately ten years ago.

Approximately 10 years ago S [REDACTED] was treated by POET at his Manahawkin practice with a CO2 laser in a microderm abrasion/facial treatment. S [REDACTED] subsequently requested a Botox treatment from POET. S [REDACTED] is not sure how she learned that POET offered BOTOX treatments, but believed that she may have seen an ad of POET's in a local paper, The Sandpaper. S [REDACTED] was not sure whether or not the ad utilized the word, "Botox". S [REDACTED] probably chose POET as the practitioner to administer the Botox treatments because she knew him and thought he was the only doctor in the area who provided Botox. S [REDACTED] also believed that she had seen brochures for Botox in POET's office.

S [REDACTED] never received Botox treatments from any another doctor.

S [REDACTED] began "Botox" treatments with POET approximately 1.5 to 2 years ago and received approximately 6 treatments. The last treatment was several weeks prior to this interview.

POET administered the treatments with an assistant present. The treatments were administered between and on each side of S [REDACTED]'s eyes.

S [REDACTED] paid for these treatments by check or cash. POET told S [REDACTED] that he would charge her a discount price per visit due to his relationship with her late husband. S [REDACTED] paid approximately \$200.00 to \$300.00 per visit to POET.

S [REDACTED] was not sure whether she signed a consent form for the "Botox" treatments. She believes she did sign a consent form at POET's office but this may have involved CO2 laser treatments.

POET provided S [REDACTED] with an extensive oral consultation prior to beginning the "Botox" treatments,

including discussion of possible side effects. POET referred to the drug to be utilized in the treatments as "Botox".

S [REDACTED] believed that she was receiving Botox from POET and had no reason to believe otherwise. S [REDACTED] trusted and continues to trust POET and does not believe that he would administer any drug which could have harmed her.

If S [REDACTED] had been informed that she was receiving a substance other than Botox, which was marked, "not for human consumption" she would not have consented to receive it.

S [REDACTED] was not aware of any variation in the price of the "Botox" treatments due to the type of drug administered by POET

By interview and observation the following descriptive data was obtained:

NAME: [REDACTED]  
 SEX: F [REDACTED]  
 DOB: A [REDACTED]  
 RACE: [REDACTED]  
 SSN: 1 [REDACTED]  
 NJDL: [REDACTED]  
 HEIGHT: [REDACTED]  
 HAIR/EY: [REDACTED]  
 RESIDE: [REDACTED]  
 MANAH: [REDACTED]  
 TELEPH: [REDACTED]  
 EMPLOY: [REDACTED]  
 609/978 [REDACTED]

<b>NAME-TITLE</b> _____	<b>NAME-TITLE</b> _____
MARC HESS, SPECIAL AGENT	

<b>DATE</b> 08/03/2005	<b>DATE</b>
------------------------	-------------

**APPROVED:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 KIM RICE, SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

**DATE:** 08/10/2005

**DISTRIBUTION:** ORIG: MWM  
 CC: AD-IOD  
 CC: PHP

**ATTACHMENTS:** N/A