



Food and Drug Administration
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW

CASE NUMBER: 2005-MWM-715-0153-J
CASE TITLE: ALBERT POET
DOCUMENT NUMBER: 99916
PERSON INTERVIEWED: Lois D [REDACTED]
PLACE OF INTERVIEW: Camden County, New Jersey
DATE OF INTERVIEW: 08/10/2006
TIME OF INTERVIEW: 2:35 p.m.
INTERVIEWED BY: Marc Hess, Special Agent

OTHER PERSONS PRESENT:

Lois D [REDACTED] was telephonically contacted and advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview. Dyer then provided the following information.

D [REDACTED] resides at [REDACTED] Livingston, New Jersey (NJ), 07039, [REDACTED]

D [REDACTED] first heard of Albert Poet's medical practice when she conducted an internet search for N-light cosmetic laser treatments and came upon Poet's web-site, "Shore-Laser". D [REDACTED] consulted Poet at his practice at the Peau salon, Montclair, NJ and requested information on both N-light and "Botox". Poet provided an extensive briefing on both N-light and Botox. Regarding Botox, Poet discussed matters including side effects and for what indications Botox is effective or ineffective. Poet depicted Botox as both safe and effective. D [REDACTED] believed that Poet's brief was very thorough and that he "bombarded her with facts".

D [REDACTED] did not receive any Botulinum Toxin (BT) type treatment from any other doctor.

D [REDACTED] received approximately four Botox treatments from Poet between 2004 and the present with treatments six to eight months apart.

Poet administered the treatments at Peau. Michele, a female who runs the salon, was usually present in the building but did not attend the treatments.

D [REDACTED] paid for the Botox treatments via check but did not recall how payments were calculated.

D [REDACTED] did not recall whether she signed a consent form for Botox treatments.

D [REDACTED] did not notice any adverse side effects from the Botox treatments.

D [REDACTED] believed that she was receiving Botox because she requested this drug from Poet.

If D [REDACTED] had known that, rather than being treated with genuine Botox, she would be treated with a item which cost Poet half the price of Botox, was not approved by the FDA and was marked "not for human use" and "for research only" she would have asked about possible side effects of the product. If Poet had told her that it was the same thing she would have said, "go for it" and consented to treatment. D [REDACTED] would have expected

Poet to conduct research on the substitute product before he recommended it to her.

NAME-TITLE _____ **NAME-TITLE** _____
Marc Hess, Special Agent

DATE 08/30/2006 **DATE**

APPROVED: _____
Kim A. Rice, Special Agent in Charge

DATE: 09/01/2006

DISTRIBUTION: ORIG: MWM
CC: AD-IOD
CC: MIF - SA KORB
CC: PHP

ATTACHMENTS: n/a